



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/628,470

07/29/2003

Masahiro Terada

Q76684

4698

23373 7590 06/17/2008  
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

CHEVALIER, ROBERT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/628,470	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TERADA, MASAHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b> ROBERT CHEVALIER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERT CHEVALIER. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Emery. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3.

Identification of prior art discussed: Maruyama et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative discussed with the Examiner the difference between the claimed invention and the cited reference in that the reference fails to disclose the recording order of the received image data. Applicant's Representative indicates that an amendment will be forthcoming in order to specify the argued recording order feature in the claimed invention. Examiner agrees to reconsider.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ROBERT CHEVALIER/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required